



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/586,345

07/14/2006

Johannes Reinmuller

WEICKM-0061

2694

23599 7590 05/26/2010
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.
2200 CLARENDON BLVD.
SUITE 1400
ARLINGTON, VA 22201

EXAMINER

GOON, SCARLETT Y

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1623

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/26/2010

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@mwzb.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/586,345	Applicant(s) REINMULLER ET AL.	
	Examiner SCARLETT GOON	Art Unit 1623	

All Participants:

(1) SCARLETT GOON.

(2) Mr. Csaba Henter.

Date of Interview: 7 May 2010

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 1:26 pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

see below

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/SCARLETT GOON/
Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Mr. Henter to discuss potential allowable subject matter. The Examiner indicated that the claimed method comprising administration of hyaluronic acid in both crosslinked and uncrosslinked form may be patentable if Applicants can provide evidence of unexpected results. Specifically, the Applicants needed to show that the combination exhibited results superior to either crosslinked or uncrosslinked hyaluronic acid alone, as well as results that showed more than an additive effect of the two combined. Mr. Henter indicated that the Applicants did not have the results readily available. The Examiner indicated that an Office Action will be issued then and Applicants' evidence can be submitted along with their response to the next Office Action.